Erratum LA01/2021/0569/O

1.0 Update

1.1 Paragraph 8.4 of the Planning Committee reports states:

Policy AMP3: Access onto Protected Routes
Other Protected Routes - Outside Settlement Limits
Planning permission will only be granted for a development proposal involving direct access, or the intensification of the use of an existing access in the following cases:

- a. A Replacement Dwelling where a building to be replaced would meet the criteria for development within a Green Belt or Countryside Policy Area and there is an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.
- b. A Farm Dwelling where a farm dwelling, including a farm retirement dwelling, would meet the criteria for development within a Green Belt or Countryside Policy Area and access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road.
- c. A Dwelling Serving an Established Commercial or Industrial Enterprise - where a dwelling would meet the criteria for development within a Green Belt or Countryside Policy Area and access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road.
- d. Other Categories of Development approval may be justified in particular cases for other developments which would meet the criteria for development within a Green Belt or Countryside Policy Area where access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road.

1.2 This should state:

Policy AMP 3 <u>Access to Protected Routes (Consequential</u> Revision)

Other Protected Routes – Outside Settlement Limits
Planning permission will only be granted for a development proposal involving access onto this category of Protected Route in the following cases:

- (a) A Replacement Dwelling where the building to be replaced would meet the criteria set out in Policy CTY 3 of PPS 21 and there is an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.
- (b) A Farm Dwelling where a farm dwelling would meet the criteria set out in Policy CTY 10 of PPS 21 and access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road. Where this cannot be achieved proposals will be required to make use of an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.
- (c) A Dwelling Serving an Established Commercial or Industrial Enterprise where a dwelling would meet the criteria for development set out in Policy CTY 7 of PPS 21 and access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road. Where this cannot be achieved proposals will be required to make use of an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.
- (d) Other Categories of Development approval may be justified in particular cases for other developments which would meet the criteria for development in the countryside and access cannot reasonably be obtained from an adjacent minor road. Where this cannot be achieved proposals will be required to make use of an existing vehicular access onto the Protected Route.

2.0 Recommendation

2.1 That the Committee note the contents of this Erratum and agree with the recommendation to refuse the proposed development in accordance with paragraph 1.1 of the Planning Committee report.