

Aileen McGarry

From: Jude <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 22 May 2024 07:21
To: Planning
Subject: Fwd: Objection to planning LA01/2022/0137/F

To date my concerns have not been directly addressed and also there is a mistake in relation to it being 4 bed houses therefore impacting the number of cars and parking allocation

Jude Moore

3 Cormanagh court

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jude <[REDACTED]>
Date: 5 February 2024 at 11:28:17 GMT
To: planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk
Subject: **Objection to planning LA01/2022/0137/F**

[To: planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk](mailto:planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk)
[Subject: Objection to planning ref LA01/2022/0137/F](#)

[In relation to Labds at the rear of Briarfield 107 Hopefield Rd](#)

[This proposed scheme is grossly unsympathetic to the listed status of existing building.](#)

[The proposal represents significant overdevelopment of the site](#)

[The scale and density of the proposed plans is totally at odds with the surrounding environment of bungalows](#)

[The listed access lane is not sufficient for potentially a minimum of ten extra cars](#)

There are bats living in the trees in this site and we are frequented by badgers so the impact on wildlife in the area must be considered

The proposed plans are a direct infringement on our private amenity and we will have loss of light as a result

This area was the earliest settlement in Portrush and therefore of historical /archaeological interest.

Show Quoted Content

To: planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk

Subject: **LA01/2022/0137/F**

In relation to Labds at the rear of Briarfield 107 Hopefield Rd

This proposed scheme is grossly unsympathetic to the listed status of existing building.

The proposal represents significant overdevelopment of the site

The scale and density of the proposed plans is totally at odds with the surrounding environment of bungalows

The listed access lane is not sufficient for potentially a minimum of ten extra cars

There are bats living in the trees in this site and we are frequented by badgers so the impact on wildlife in the area must be considered

The proposed plans are a direct infringement on our private amenity and we will have loss of light as a result

[This area was the earliest settlement in Portrush and therefore of historical /archaeological interest.](#)

Taking into consideration the current plans I would stress the importance of a sufficient boundary and would want a wall installed at least 6ft high to their side of the boundary without affecting our existing hedges and trees as there will be clearing done on their side if and when works commence, which might thin our existing thick hedge line.

Also of note is a bedroom window facing us which we would request is moved to the rear elevation as depicted in the attached annotation- the bedroom plan would easily allow this.

My objections as per the building work not in keeping with the listed nature of the house and gateway still stands.

Please send receipt of this objection

Regards

Sent from my iPhone