

## Laura Crawford

---

**From:** Councillor Account <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 21 February 2022 14:23  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** cklphysio@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Fwd: Amended Objection LA0/2016/1267/Rm  
**Attachments:** LA0 2016 1267 RM Roe Valley Planning Coutry Park Planning Object

Please see attached correspondence from local residents and Friends of Roe Valley Country Park Group.

This email is to lend my continued support to the group in their latest objection and their Counter proposal that "the developer does not build to the west of the main link road to the development and let this be the town / Country boundary (see attached) in relation to large and dense housing developments. "

Please note: this is not support for the planning application. It is support for the residents and group who oppose.

Councillor James McCorkell  
Limavady DEA

Sent from Android device

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Conán Kearney Loughrey <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** 19 Feb 2022 13:51  
**Subject:** Fwd: Amended Objection LA0/2016/1267/Rm  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:**

Hi James,

Please see below our latest objection to the proposed development in the lands directly adjacent to the Laurel Lane & Roe Valley Country Park in Limavady.

To fill you in, our last objection was successful in so far as the committee unanimously deferred the application in favour of a more substantial buffer between the development and the Laurel lane.

The previous buffer was 8m, the developer has come back with a mere extra 9m buffer on top of the previous 8m (17m in total which in rugby terms would be a very scoreable opportunity from a penalty for example) but has made no attempt to reduce the scale or indeed the number of units in his development. This says to use he is not interested in leaving a lasting legacy for the country park or the people of Limavady and we believe this is not something that the council should support,

We have counter proposed that the developer does not build to the west of the main link road to the development and let this be the town / Country boundary (see attached) in relation to large and dense housing developments.

I hope your position remains as it did prior to Christmas and supports our opposition to this development in its current form.

Please note once again, we recognise the need for more housing in Limavady, we are not in opposition to the proposal for development in this site in its entirety however we do believe a reasonable and more sustainable approach must be taken when it comes to making decisions for planning and the long term impact on the legacy of

the Roe Valley Country Park and for the people of Limavady who use it as a local retreat close to but not in the urban zone, for rest, recreation and mindfulness.

I appreciate your time in considering this matter,

Kind regards,

Conán Kearney Loughrey  
On Behalf of

Friends of the Roe Valley Country Park

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Conán Kearney Loughrey <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** 1 February 2022 at 23:17:29 GMT  
**To:** Planning <Planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Amended Objection LA0/2016/1267/Rm

Apologies

please find attached the most recent objection to this planning development which makes reference to our previous objection from November 28th 2021 which I e-mailed to this address earlier today as an error.

Kind regards,

Friends of Roe Valley Country Park

Conán Kearney Loughrey  
Chartered Physiotherapist