Erratum

LA01/2017/1270/O

1. Paragraph 9.1 contains the sentence 'The proposal is contrary to Paragraph 6.303 of the SPPS and Policy AMP2 of PPS3 It has not been demonstrated that the proposal will not prejudice road safety.' As the issue of access has been resolved (as outlined in paragraph 8.12) this sentence is no longer applicable.

   Paragraph 9.1 should read 'The proposal is considered unacceptable in this location having regard to the Northern Area Plan, and other material considerations, including the SPPS. The proposal is contrary to Paragraph 6.73 of the SPPS and Policy CTY10 of PPS 21 in that the proposed dwelling will not cluster or visually link with an established group of buildings on the farm. The proposal is also contrary to Paragraph 6.70 of the SPPS and Policies CTY8 and CTY14 in the proposal would add to an existing ribbon of development thereby resulting in a detrimental impact on rural character. Refusal is recommended.'