## Erratum LA01/2019/0150/O

Initially there was concern that the proposed development would overshadow windows on the side elevation of No. 107. Refusal reason 2 included specific reference to this issue. However, clarification has since been received that the windows are to non-habitable rooms. Therefore refusal reason 2 is changed from:

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY 8 of Planning Policy Statement 21 in that the proposal if permitted, would not respect the size, scale and plot size of the surrounding dwellings, and would if approved have a detrimental impact on the adjacent properties.

To:

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY 8 of Planning Policy Statement 21 in that the proposal if permitted would not respect the size, scale and plot size of the surrounding dwellings.