

Erratum

LA01/2017/0082/F

Proposal description states, “Proposed replacement of an approved ACSA A27 wind turbine (with 30m hub height and 27m blade diameter) with a Vesta V52 250kw wind turbine (with 40m hub height and 42m blade diameter).” This should read, “Proposed replacement of an approved ACSA A27 wind turbine (with 30m hub height and 27m blade diameter) with a Vesta V42 250kw wind turbine (with 40m hub height and 42m blade diameter)

The paragraph numbering on page 9 and 10 are incorrect.

Paragraph 6.1 should be 8.17. Paragraph 6.2 should be 8.18.

Paragraph 6.3 should be 8.19. Paragraph 6.4 should be 8.20.

Paragraph 6.5 should be 8.21. Paragraph 6.6 should be 8.22.

Paragraph 2.2 should include a sentence at the end of this paragraph which reads,

“There is currently a wind turbine on this site with a second turbine in proximity to it.”

The first sentence in paragraph 8.13 should be amended to include reference to the submission date of the visual assessment.

This sentence should now read,

“A visual assessment was submitted on 19th October 2017 as part of the application and has been considered.”

Paragraph 6.4 on page 9 (should read 8.20 as amended by this Erratum) covers Environmental, Social and Economic Benefits. In a letter dated 19th October 2017 the applicants agent refers to the social, economic and environmental benefits. Paragraph 8.20 reads,

“The SPPS states that the wider environmental, economic and social benefits of all proposals for renewable energy projects are material considerations that will be given appropriate weight. In this case specific economic and social benefits have not been supplied for consideration. Having regard to the harm to visual amenity, set out above, general economic and social benefits associated with such proposals are considered to be substantially outweighed.”

This should be replaced by the following paragraph,

“The SPPS states that the wider environmental, economic and social benefits of all proposals for renewable energy projects are material considerations that will be given appropriate weight. It was stated that the proposed development will increase the efficiency of this site by 50% resulting in the production of an additional 250,000 kWhs annually. It is also specified that the electricity generated by wind turbines reduces the need to generate electricity from other sources and in the UK these other sources will almost certainly be fossil fuelled. Further to this it is stated that Carbon Dioxide emissions reductions for example includes electricity generated by long term marginal plant results in average of 430Gc02/kWh of electricity generated (DEFRA environmental reporting guidelines). To summarise it is stated that 250,000 kWh of clean electricity generated from this generation mix will result in the displacement of 107.5 tonnes of CO₂ ($250,000 \times 430 / 1,000,000$) per year. The additional generation from this amendment will be able to provide power for 83 houses. It is accepted that the proposed development will have environmental, economic and social benefits. However, these benefits are considered to be substantially outweighed by the harm to visual amenity set out in paragraphs 8.10 to 8.14.”