

Title of Report:	Development Management Monthly Statistical Report.
Committee Report Submitted To:	Planning Committee
Date of Meeting:	23 September 2020
For Decision or For Information	For Information

Linkage to Council Strategy (2015-19)			
Strategic Theme	Protecting and Enhancing our Environments and Assets		
Outcome	Pro-active decision making which protects the natural features,		
	characteristics and integrity of the Borough		
Lead Officer	Head of Planning		

Budgetary Considerations			
Cost of Proposal	Nil		
Included in Current Year Estimates			
Capital/Revenue			
Code			
Staffing Costs			

Screening Requirements	Required for new or revised Policies, Plans, Strategies or Service Delivery Proposals.			
Section 75 Screening	Screening Completed:	N/A	Date:	
	EQIA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:	
Rural Needs Assessment	Screening Completed	N/A	Date:	
(RNA)	RNA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:	
Data Protection Impact	Screening Completed:	N/A	Date:	
Assessment (DPIA)	DPIA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:	

PC200923 Page 1 of 6

1.0 Background

- 1.1 The "Protocol for the Operation of the Planning Committee" sets out the requirement to provide monthly updates on the number of planning applications received and decided
- 1.2 The Northern Ireland Planning Monitoring Framework sets out the new reporting arrangements to the Department of Infrastructure. Dfl's Analysis, Statistics and Research Branch (ASRB) publishes the official statistics on a quarterly and annual basis. The Framework includes the three statutory planning indicators in addition to new non-statutory indicators.
- 1.3 This Monthly Statistical Report provides Members with unvalidated statistics in relation to how Council's Planning Department and Committee are performing against the Framework indicators.

2.0 Details

- 2.1 Website link 1 and Website Link provide a list of planning applications received and decided respectively by Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council for July 2021. Please note that Pre-Application Discussions; Certificates of Lawful Development Proposed or Existing; Discharge of Conditions and Non-Material Changes, have been excluded from the reports to correspond with official validated statistics published by DFI.
- 2.2 <u>Indicator 1</u>: average processing time taken to determine major applications <u>Statutory Target</u> – major applications processed from date valid to decision or withdrawal within an average of 30 weeks

Table 1 below details the number of Major planning applications received and decided, as well as the average processing times. Please note that these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of major applications received has remained the same, however, the number of major applications decided has decreased by 8. This is due to the restriction in place due to Covid-19 when no Planning Committee meeting took place in the months of April and May. No Major planning applications issued in July due to no Planning Committee meeting taking place.

Table 1 Indicator 1: Processing Major applications

	Major applications (target of 30 weeks)					
2020/21	Number received	Number decided/ withdrawn	Average processing time (weeks)	% of cases processed within 30 weeks		
April	0	0	-	-		
May	1	0	-	-		
June	1	1	70.8	0%		
July	1	0				
TOTAL	3	1	70.8 weeks	0%		

Source: Unvalidated Statistics.

PC200923 Page 2 of 6

2.3 <u>Indicator 2</u>: average processing time taken to determine local applications <u>Statutory Target</u> – local applications processed from date valid to decision or withdrawal within an average of 15 weeks

Table 2 below details the number of Local planning applications received and decided as well as the average processing times. Please note these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of applications received has decreased by 87 applications and the number of decisions issued/withdrawn has decreased by 203 applications. This is largely due to the restrictions imposed as a result of Covid-19 with staff working from home with limited remote access and impact of restrictions on applicants and agents to be in a position to submit applications.

Table 2 Indicator 2: Processing Local applications

Local applications (target of 15 weeks)					
2020/21	Number received	Number decided/ withdrawn	Average processing time (weeks)	% of cases processed within 15 weeks	
April	58	37	21.6	32.4%	
May	71	53	14.8	52.8%	
June	82	62	18.6	37.1%	
July	96	45	19.2	28.9%	
Total	307	197	18.8 Weeks	38.8%	

Source: Unvalidated Statistics; Excludes: Pre-Application Discussions; Proposal of Application Notices; Certificate of Lawful Development Proposed or Existing; Discharge of Conditions; Non-Material Change.

Although we did not meet the statutory target of 15 weeks for processing local applications, processing times has improved by 1.3 weeks when compared to the same period last year and with 0.3% more local applications being processed within the statutory target when compared to same period last year.

2.4 <u>Indicator 3</u>: proportion of enforcement cases progressed to the target conclusion within 39 weeks

<u>Standard</u> – 70% of all enforcement cases progressed to target conclusion within 39 weeks of receipt of complaint

Table 3 below details the number of Enforcement cases opened and concluded as well as the percentage of cases concluded within the statutory target of 39 weeks. Please note these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of cases opened has decreased by 59 and the number of cases brought to conclusion has decreased by 74. Again this is largely as a result of the restrictions imposed due to Covid-19.

PC200923 Page **3** of **6**

Table 3 Indicator 3: Processing Enforcement Cases

	Enforcement Cases Concluded (target of 39 weeks)				
2019/20	Number opened	Number brought to conclusion	70% conclusion time (weeks)	% of cases concluded within 39 weeks	
April	13	10	38.4	70%	
May	20	22	39	72.7%	
June	28	8	40.5	62.5%	
July	21	10	44.6	70%	
Total	82	50	39.1 weeks	70%	

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

The statutory target for concluding 70% of enforcement cases within 39 weeks continues to be met by our Enforcement team with 70% of cases YTD concluded within the statutory target. However, of note is that the number of cases concluded within 39 weeks has decreased by 18.7% when compared to the same period last year. This was largely due to the restrictions on staff inspecting sites due to restrictions on travel at that time. Site inspections have now recommenced and the number of cases brought to conclusion should increase going forward.

2.5 Indicator 4: percentage of applications determined under delegated powers
Table 4 below details the total number of Local applications determined under
delegated powers. Determined is taken as the date the decision issued and
excludes withdrawn applications. Dfl Development Management Practice Note 15
Councils Schemes of Delegation recommends that councils should aim to have 9095% of applications dealt with under the scheme of delegation. To date 97.35% of
applications determined were delegated under the scheme of delegation. The
increase in the number of applications determined under delegated authority is due
to no Planning Committee meeting taking place in the months of April and May due
to restrictions imposed due to Covid-19 and also in July due to recess.

Table 4 Percentage of Local applications determined under delegated powers

	Applications Determined					
2019/20	Total Determined	Delegated Determined	Planning Committee Determined	% Delegated Determined		
April	36	36	0	100%		
May	52	52	0	100%		
June	59	54	5	91.5%		
July	42	42	0	100%		
Total	189	184	5	97.35%		

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

PC200923 Page **4** of **6**

2.6 <u>Indicator 5</u>: number of applications taken to Planning Committee and percentage of Committee decisions made against officer recommendation

Table 5 provides details on the number of decisions that were determined by the Planning Committee at each monthly meeting and the percentage of decisions made against officer recommendation, including Major, Council and Local applications. This is taken from the date of the Planning Committee meeting. To note is that no contentious delegated application reports were issued to members during this period, which therefore resulted in no referral requests. Also no previous referral request applications were taken to committee during this period. This was because June Planning Committee meeting was undertaken as a 'virtual' meeting and applications where members of the public including agents requested to speak at the meeting were limited to ensure satisfactory operation of the IT system. No Planning Committee meeting took place in July.

Table 5 Percentage of decisions at Planning Committee against Officer Recommendation

	Total Planning Committee Determined	Officer Recommendation Overturned	Requested Referred to PC Determined	Total no of Referrals overturned by PC
June	5	0	0	0
Total	5	0	0	0

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

2.7 <u>Indicator 6</u>: percentage of appeals against refusals of planning permission that are dismissed

Table 6 below details the number of appeal decisions issued since in Q1 of 2020/21 business year. Please note that these figures relating to planning application decisions only are unvalidated statistics extracted from internal management reports.

Table 6 Appeals to the Planning Appeals Commission (PAC)

Appeal Decisions	Q1 20/21	July 2021	Total To Date
Upheld	1	0	1
Dismissed	2	0	2
Cumulative Total Appeal Decisions	3	0	3

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

No Planning Appeals decisions were issued in the month of July.

PC200923 Page **5** of **6**

2.8 <u>Indicator 7</u>: Number of claims for costs received by the PAC and number of claims awarded

Table 7 provides the details of the number of application for claims for costs made by either third parties or Council to the PAC and the number of claims where the PAC have awarded costs.

Table 7 Total number of costs received

2020/21	Claims for Costs by third parties	Cost awarded against Council	Claim for Costs by Council	Costs awarded to the Council
April	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

2.9 Table 8 details the number of contentious applications which have been circulated to all Members. No contentious applications were circulated during this period.

Table 8 Total number of referrals requested

2020/21	No of contentious applications	No of contentious applications referred	% Referred
April	0	0	0
May	0	0	0
June	0	0	0
July	0	0	0
Total	0	0	%

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

3.0 Recommendation

3.1 IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Planning Committee note the update on the development management statistics.

PC200923 Page **6** of **6**