

Understanding Businesses & Visitors in towns within Causeway Coast & Glens

Garvagh

August 2021





Introduction



In March 2021, Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council appointed CARD Group Ltd to carry out Perception and Opinion surveys, among people and businesses, within 12 designated town centres within the Borough. The aim of the survey is to assess how people and businesses perceive the town centres within Causeway Coast and Glens, in order to assist the Town & Village Management Team and Planning Department operations.

The following report is a sub-report seeking to provide a summarised snapshot of our results, emanating from the Causeway Coast & Glens visitor & traders sampling, at a **local** level. This particular sub-report provides the snapshot for sampling that took place in **Garvagh**;

- The visitor results are based on an overall sample of 68 respondents;
- The trader's results are based on a sample of 19 traders within the town centre.

Sampling for visitors and traders in Garvagh took place between 27th March and 13th April 2021. It is important to note that during this period, there were a range of continuing restrictions in place owing to the ongoing Coronavirus pandemic. The specific restrictions at the time are outlined in Appendix 1, however it is important to be cognisant of the impact these restrictions will have had on both visitors (restrictions on area movement, what shops / activities they have come to use etc.) and traders (loss of revenue, periods of closure etc.) in the area.





Visitors




Respondent Profile







Garvagh ACORN Profile* vs Overall Sample



Within our Garvagh sample, female visitors saw significantly greater representation than males and the age structure was skewed towards those approaching or in early retirement.


Consistent with many of the smaller towns in the borough, the ACORN profile is dominated by the 'Comfortable Communities' category, and the 'Countryside Communities' subcategory – reflective of the area's rural nature.

There was however significantly greater representation of the 'Comfortable Seniors' sub-category (8.2%) compared to our borough wide sample (1.4%).




Origins & Travel








As most were from the very immediate area, the rate of use of 'active' transport was therefore higher.






card"

ACORN Mapping








Why are people going to the town centres?





Location	Average Dwell Time	Average Spend per Minute
Garvagh	96 mins	£0.20
Total Sample	109 mins	£0.29

For a town of its size, the level of usage for non-grocery is shopping is somewhat surprising.

Usage of Garvagh is equally balanced between recreational use (recreation, meet friends, eating/drinking, leisure sum = 68%) and for shopping use (grocery & non-grocery = 68%).

Garvagh Forest is potentially a key contributor to the high rate of recreational visits.

For this reason average spend, as well as average spend by dwell time, is below the borough average.




What is your opinion of the town centre?



	Garvagh Visit	Garvagh Visitors				Score: +5					
	Dislike				Passive Like			ke			
Description	Hate	Dislike	Avoid	Not ok	Not for me	Ok	Like	Enjoy	One of my favourites	My favourite town	
Score	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Sample	0%	1%	4%	7%	4%	18%	1%	51%	6%	6%	
Calculation				Total	of 'Like' (65) – To	tal of Dislike (13)	= +51				





- Above is the combined Sentiment Score for Garvagh. The rationale for Sentiment Scoring it outlined in Appendix 2.
- In keeping with other towns, the sentiment score for Garvagh is generally
 positive but in this case does sit relatively low (ranked 10th) compared to the
 other towns sampled.
- Around 2 thirds (64%) of visitors do 'Like' the town. However 4 out of the 5
 ratings factors displayed in the graph performed worse than the borough
 average. Green space being rated particularly low.

"It's went downhill in recent years. More drinking in the street & litter"


"Very little to do. Need a modern playpark for kids & mothers"

"A lot of it is derelict"



Pre & Post-COVID Use





Garvagh TC Use	Eating / Drinking	Books & Hobby	Hair & Beauty	Entertainment & Leisure	Professional Services	Health & Pharmacy	Non Grocery Shopping	Grocery Shopping
Before COVID	55.9%	25.0%	44.1%	8.8%	1.5%	36.8%	33.8%	42.6%
After COVID	70.6%	36.8%	52.9%	10.3%	0.0%	33.8%	29.4%	36.8%
Difference	+14.7%	+11.8%	+8.8%	+1.5%	-1.5%	-2.9%	-4.4%	-5.9%

It appears that usage of Garvagh for recreational purposes, as identified on page 7, looks like it is only going to be furthered post-restrictions.

That shopping (both grocery and non-grocery) appears to potentially lose out suggests that a significant number of those currently shopping in town are only doing so as a result of the restrictions in place.

What prevents you from visiting the town centre more?	Garvagh	Total Sample
Congestion & Traffic	10%	19%
Parking	3%	15%
Habit	13%	8%
Unappealing Retailers	12%	13%
Evening Economy Options	9%	7%
Visually Unappealing Area	22%	7%
Cafes & Restaurant Offer	15%	7%
Safety	3%	3%
None of these	47%	52%






Traders








We tend to see a higher rate of owned premises vs leased in the more rural locations and Garvagh is no exception. With an ownership rate of 68%, this is well above our overall average of 51%.

Business age is also amongst the oldest in the borough with 68% over 10 years old – joint highest in the borough with Ballycastle.


Current Staffing Levels













Were you forced to close operations at any point?





Did the business pivot to provide alternative services during the COVID lockdown	%
No	26%
Yes	74%
Of those who said yes	
Online selling & delivery	43%
Click & collect	43%
New services tailored to new circumstances	29%
New products tailored to new circumstances	21%

Many of the businesses in Garvagh did suffer as a result of the COVID-19 crisis. However, in the same manner as other towns that do not rely on external visitors and tourists, it was slightly more insulated in this respect.


47% stating their income decreased is the 4th lowest of the 12 towns, and well below the average of 59%.


The rate of closure, however, due to the crisis was above the average for the 12 towns of 58%.




COVID Impact continued









Did you avail of any CC&G Business Support ...



What kind of Government Support ...



Trading intentions going forward ...



Consistent with other towns, uptake of government support during the crisis was high while uptake of support offered by the council was low.

The high uptake of government support would appear to contradict slightly with the relatively lower rate of those stating their income had decreased in the previous page. 84% utilising government support is the highest of the 12 towns sampled.

It is entirely possible that the uptake of government support was the reason many of these businesses didn't report a reduction in income.




What is your opinion of the town centre?



	Garvagh Trad	lers			Score: +68					
	Dislike				Passive Like			ke		
Description	Hate	Dislike	Avoid	Not ok	Not for me	Ok	Like	Enjoy	One of my favourites	My favourite town
Score	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sample	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	26%	5%	53%	0%	11%
Calculation			T	otal of 'Like' (68)	– Total of Dislike	(0) = Garvagh Tr	aders Score = +68	3		

Average Rating Garvagh Town Centre (out of 100)



- Garvagh is one of a small number of towns in our sample where the trader sentiment actually eclipses that of the visitors.
- The rate of trader respondents who 'Like' the town is very similar to visitors, however there were no recorded instances of traders 'Disliking' the town in our sample.
- The trader ratings of Garvagh town centre follow a very similar trend to the visitor's, with green space and availability of shops and services being the lowest rated aspects in both instances.





Appendix 1 – Terminology & Clarifications



Annex 1 – Terminology and Clarifications



Margin of Error

Our overall sample of 781 samples was sufficient to achieve a margin of error of +/- 3.5% @95% confidence when looking at the borough as a whole. For each individual town, greater caution should be placed on the results as the sample gets more segmented the margin of error increases. For Garvagh a sample size of 68 was achieved which provides us with a margin of error of +/- 11.9% @ 95% confidence. In simple terms, our margin of error of means that were the study to be replicated 20 times, we would expect the results to vary by no more than + or – 11.9% in 19 (95%) of the subsequent studies.

Coronavirus Restrictions

At the end of March, beginning of April 2020 – Northern Ireland was still under some of the most restrictive COVID regulations since the beginning of the pandemic. This included restrictions on which traders were allowed to open / operate, as well as restrictions on the movement of the general public. The removal of these restrictions only really began in late April.

https://www.executiveoffice-ni.gov.uk/news/executive-agrees-relaxations-covid-restrictions

This is likely to have had significant ramifications on both our visitor and trader sampling as the profile of each will have been dramatically altered from what would be considered 'the norm'.

Weather & Climate

According to the Met Office, the UK experienced one of the coldest Aprils since 1922, and the highest level of air frost in 60 year.

https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/about-us/press-office/news/weather-and-climate/2021/lowest-average-minimum-temperatures-since-1922-as-part-of-dry-april

The inclement weather, in combination with the aforementioned Coronavirus restrictions, are likely to have had a significant impact on visitor footfall and composition in comparison to what would normally be expected for the time of year.





Appendix 2 – ACORN & Sentiment Explained



Annex 2 – ACORN & Sentiment Explained



About ACORN

ACORN is a geodemographic segmentation of the UK's population. It segments households, postcodes & neighbourhoods into 6 categories and 18 associated sub-groups. Through analysis of demographic data, social factors & individual consumer behaviour, it provides precise information and an in-depth understanding of different types of people at a postcode level.

Categorisation

		ACORN Groups	5	Sub-Categories	
		Affluent	These are some of the most financially successful people in the UK. They live in affluent, high status areas of the	Lavish Lifestyles	The most affluent people in the UK who live comfortable lifestyles with few financial concerns.
	1	Achievers	country. They are healthy, wealthy and confident	Executive Wealth	High income people, successfully combining jobs and families.
			consumers.	Mature Money	Older, affluent people with the money and time to enjoy life.
	2	Rising	These are generally younger, well educated, professionals moving up the career ladder, living in our major towns and cities. Singles or couples, some are yet to start a family, others will have younger children.	City Sophisticates	Younger individuals enjoying the city lifestyle with lots of opportunities to socialise and spend.
	-	Prosperity		Career Climbers	Younger singles and couples, some with young children, living in more urban locations.
		Comfortable Communities	This category contains much of middle-of-the-road UK, whether in the suburbs, smaller towns or the countryside. They are stable families and empty nesters in suburban or semirural areas.	Countryside Communities	Older people with leisure interests reflecting rural locations.
				Successful Suburbs	Home-owning families living comfortably in stable areas in suburban and semi-rural locations
3	3			Steady Neighbourhoods	These working families form the bedrock of many towns across the UK.
				Comfortable Seniors	Older people with sufficient investments and pensions for a secure future.
				Starting Out	Young couples and early career climbers in their first homes.

Annex 2 – ACORN & Sentiment Explained



	ACORN Group	S	Sub-Categories Sub-Categories			
		This category contains a mix of traditional areas of the UK, including social housing developments specifically for the elderly. It also includes student term-time areas.	Student Life	Students and young people with little income living in halls of residence or shared houses		
1	Financially		Modest Means	Younger families in smaller homes with below average incomes.		
4	Stretched		Striving Families	Struggling families on limited incomes in urban areas.		
			Poorer Pensioners	Older people and pensioners, the majority of whom live in social housing.		
		This category contains the most deprived areas of towns and cities across the UK. Household incomes are low, nearly always below the national average.	Young Hardship	People with a modest lifestyle who may be struggling in the economic climate.		
5	Urban Adversity		Struggling Estates	Large, low income families surviving with benefits.		
	,,,,,,		Difficult Circumstances	Young adults, many of whom are single parents, enduring hardship.		

Sentiment Scoring

The Sentiment Score tracks how people feel about a brand or place and ranges from -100 to +100. The score is calculated by taking the percentage who do not like the town away from the percentage who do like the town. The average score for all towns in +71. The table below provides a contextual overview for how sentiment scores should be viewed.

Score Range	Result	Rationale
-100 to -1	Very Poor	The town is actively disliked by its residents/traders. This should be the first targets for change
0 to 24	Poor	Overall the residents/traders have a low opinion of the town.
25 to 49	Neutral	a score between 25 and 50 indicates 25-50% more people like rather than dislike the town
50 to 74	Good	The town is receiving very high scores meaning very few people dislike the town
75 to 89	Very Good	The town has few people who dislike or feel neutral about the town
90 to 100	Excellent	Almost the entire population likes/enjoys the town





Appendix 3 – Results Expanded

Annex 3 – Sentiment Scoring



Detailed ACORN Results for Garvagh Visitors

No.	ACORN Group	Garvagh	Total Sample	Sub-C	Sub-Category		Total Sample		
				А	Lavish Lifestyles	0%	0%		
1	Affluent Achievers	3%	7%	В	Executive Wealth	3.3%	4.1%		
				С	Mature Money	0%	2.9%		
2	Rising Prosperity	0%	1%	D	City Sophisticates	0%	0%		
2	Rising Prosperity	0%	170	Е	Career Climbers	0%	0.8%		
				F	Countryside Communities	55.7%	45.6%		
	Comfortable Communities	67%	52%		G	Successful Suburbs	3.3%	2.3%	
3				Н	Steady Neighbourhoods	0%	1.6%		
						- 1	Comfortable Seniors	8.2%	1.4%
				J	Starting Out	0%	1.1%		
				K	Student Life	0%	0.4%		
4	Financially Stretched	28%	200/	L	Modest Means	13.1%	8.4%		
4	Financially Stretched	20%	28%	M	Striving Families	6.6%	12.1%		
				N	Poorer Pensioners	8.2%	7.7%		
				0	Young Hardship	0%	7.1%		
5	Urban Adversity	2%	12%	Р	Struggling Estates	1.6%	3.0%		
				Q	Difficult Circumstances	0%	1.5%		

Disclaimer



No part of this report may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without the prior written permission of CARD Group.

CARD Group warrants that reasonable skill and care has been used in preparing this report. Notwithstanding this warranty CARD Group shall not be under liability for any loss of profit, business, revenues or any special indirect or consequential damage of any nature whatsoever or loss of anticipated saving or for any increased costs sustained by the client or his or her servants or agents arising in any way whether directly or indirectly as a result of reliance on this publication or of any error or defect in this publication.

CARD Group makes no warranty, either express or implied, as to the accuracy of any data used in preparing this report nor as to any projections contained in this report which are necessarily of a subjective nature and subject to uncertainty and which constitute only CARD Group's opinion as to likely future trends or events based on information known to CARD Group at the date of this publication.

This publication is intended for use only by the client for whom it was prepared and shall not be quoted or made public in any way without CARD Group's written consent. CARD Group shall not in any circumstances be under any liability whatsoever to any other person for any loss or damage arising in any way as a result of reliance on this publication.

This publication is made available to you subject to CARD Group's terms of engagement to which your attention is hereby drawn.

For further information please contact:

Albert Hamilton

Chief Executive

albert.hamilton@card-group.com

+44 (0) 78 1211 1262

Niall Murphy

Head of Insight

niall.murphy@card-group.com

+44 (0) 78 4939 6385

Aedan Beatty

Analyst

aedan.beatty@card-group.com

