

Title of Report:	Planning Committee Report – LA01/2021/0987/O
Committee Report Submitted To:	Planning Committee
Date of Meeting:	25 th May 2022
For Decision or For Information	For Decision

Linkage to Council Strategy (2021-25)			
Strategic Theme	Cohesive Leadership		
Outcome	Council has agreed policies and procedures and decision making is consistent with them		
Lead Officer	Development Management and Enforcement Manager		

Budgetary Considerations	
Cost of Proposal	Nil
Included in Current Year Estimates	N/A
Capital/Revenue	N/A
Code	N/A
Staffing Costs	N/A

Screening Requirements	Required for new or revised Policies, Plans, Strategies or Service Delivery Proposals.			
Section 75 Screening	Screening Completed:	N/A	Date:	
	EQIA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:	
Rural Needs Assessment (RNA)	Screening Completed	N/A	Date:	

	RNA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:
Data Protection Impact	Screening Completed:	N/A	Date:
Assessment (DPIA)	DPIA Required and Completed:	N/A	Date:

App No: LA01/2021/0987/O Ward: Macosquin

App Type: Outline Planning

Address: 60m South of 71 Sconce Road Coleraine

Proposal: Retirement Bungalow

Con Area: N/A <u>Valid Date</u>: 11/08/2021

Listed Building Grade: N/A

Applicant: Mr. David Hutchinson. 71 Sconce Road, Coleraine

Agent: Fleming and McKernan Associates. 1 Upper Abbey Street,

Coleraine

Objections: 0 Petitions of Objection: 0

Support: 0 Petitions of Support: 0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Outline planning permission is being sought for 1 no. dwelling on the site.
- The site is located within the open countryside and is within the Binevenagh Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) as designated in the Northern Area Plan 2016.
- No letters of objection or support have been received.
- All consultees are content with the proposal subject to conditions and informatives.
- There were four applications surrounding the site submitted between 2003 and 2006, three from David Hutchinson and one from Naomi Hutchinson.
- The farm business has not been active and established in each of the last six years, and the applicant has been letting the land out to another farm business. The proposal fails criterion (a) of CTY10.
- The applicant has submitted information pertaining to personal circumstances. It has not been demonstrated that there are no other solutions such as an extension to the dwelling or a dwelling in a nearby settlement. The proposal fails CTY 6. There are no other appropriate policies within PPS 21 and therefore the proposal also fails CTY1.
- Refusal is recommended.

Drawings and additional information are available to view on the Planning Portal- http://epicpublic.planningni.gov.uk/publicaccess/

1 RECOMMENDATION

1.1 That the Committee has taken into consideration and agrees with the reasons for the recommendation set out in section 9 and the policies and guidance in sections 7 and 8 and resolves to **REFUSE** outline planning permission for the reasons set out in section 10.

2 SITE LOCATION & DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 The site is located within the open countryside, approx. 1.2 miles south west of Articlave, and it is situated within the Binevenagh AONB. The wider area is characterised by agricultural land to the along with a small number of dwellings and farm buildings along Sconce Road.
- 2.2 The application site sits some 220m from Sconce Road and is comprised of a portion of an agricultural field and is accessed via an existing farm lane leading to agricultural buildings to the NW of the site. As the site is part of a larger field its boundaries are largely undefined, with low hedgerow and post and wire fencing to both sides of the lane.

3 RELEVANT HISTORY

C/2003/0813/O- Sconce Road, Articlave Macosquin (150 metres north of 77 Sconce Road, Storey and half detached dwelling with double garage. Application withdrawn 5th March 2004.

C/2004/1226/O- Land South West, of No. 71 Sconce Road, Articlave, Coleraine, Site for a storey and half dwelling. Permission granted 30th March 2005.

C/2005/0517/O – Land West of No. 71 Sconce Road, Articlave, Site for dwelling. Application withdrawn 18th October 2005.

C/2006/0972/RM – Land South West of 71 Sconce Road, Sconce, Articlave, Proposed new dwelling and garage. Permission granted 20th February 2007.

4 THE APPLICATION

4.1 Outline planning permission is sought to construct a 'retirement dwelling.' The application was applied for under policy CTY 10 – Dwellings on Farms, and upon receipt of additional information CTY 6 – Personal and Domestic Circumstances is also a consideration. This is an outline planning application and therefore no additional details relating to siting or design have been submitted.

DESIGN & ACCESS STATEMENT

- 4.2 A Design & Access Statement is required under Article 6 of the Planning (General Development Procedure) Order (NI) 2015 as the application is within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.
- 4.3 A Design and Access Statement was received at the time of submission of the application and is considered acceptable.

5 PUBLICITY & CONSULTATIONS

5.1 External

Neighbours: 1 neighbouring property was notified in relation to the application, and no letters of objection or support were received.

5.2 Internal

Dfl Roads - no objections

Environmental Health - no objections

DAERA Water Management Unit - no objections

DAERA Countryside Management Inspectorate Branch – Issues Raised

NI Water – no objections

6 MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 Section 45(1) of the Planning Act (Northern Ireland) 2011 requires that all applications must have regard to the local plan, so far as material to the application, and all other material considerations. Section 6(4) states that in making any determination where regard is to be had to the local development plan, the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

- 6.2 The development plan is:
 - The Northern Area Plan 2016 (NAP)
- 6.3 The Regional Development Strategy (RDS) is a material consideration.
- 6.4 The Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland (SPPS) is a material consideration. As set out in the SPPS, until such times as a new local plan strategy is adopted, councils will apply specified retained operational policies.
- 6.5 Due weight should be given to the relevant policies in the development plan.
- 6.6 All material considerations and any policy conflicts are identified in the "Considerations and Assessment" section of the report.

7 RELEVANT POLICIES & GUIDANCE

The Northern Area Plan 2016

The Strategic Planning Policy Statement (SPPS)

PPS 21: Sustainable Development in the Countryside

PPS 3: Access, Movement and Parking

PPS 2: Natural Heritage

Supplementary Planning Guidance

Binevenagh AONB Design Guide

8 CONSIDERATIONS & ASSESSMENT

The main considerations in the determination of this application relate to the principle of development, access integration and rural character, access and natural heritage.

Planning Policy

- 8.1 The site is located 1.9 miles south west of the the Settlement Development Limits of Articlave and is within the Binevenagh AONB as set out in the Northern Area Plan 2016.
- 8.2 The proposal must be considered having regard to the NAP 2016, SPPS, PPS policy documents and supplementary planning guidance specified above.

Principle of Development

- 8.3 Policy CTY 1 outlines the types of development which are acceptable in principle in the countryside, including a dwelling based on special personal or domestic circumstances in accordance with Policy CTY 6, and a dwelling on a farm in accordance with Policy CTY 10.
- 8.4 Policy CTY 10 allows a dwelling on a farm where the development complies with all three of the criteria
 - (a) the farm business is currently active and has been established for at least 6 years;
 - (b) no dwellings or development opportunities out-with settlement limits have been sold off from the farm holding within 10 years of the date of the application. This provision will only apply from 25 November 2008; and
 - (c) the new building is visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm and where practicable, access to the dwelling should be obtained from an existing lane.
- 8.5 Farm maps dated 2008 and 2015 were submitted along with the application, and up-to-date maps were requested. The agent confirmed that a recent map is unavailable as the land is let to another farmer due to the applicant's circumstances. The consultation response received from DAERA dated 31st January 2022 confirmed that the farm business ID has been in existence for more than 6 years, however payments have only been claimed through the payments through the Basic Payment Scheme or Agri Environment scheme in 2015, 2016 and 2017.
- 8.6 The agent was invited to submit any additional evidence that the farm business has been active in each of the last 6 years under the applicant's name. In response the Planning Department was advised that the applicant confirmed that he claimed single farm payment up

- until 2018, after which he let the land out to another farmer. No other evidence of active farming was provided. Given this it has not been demonstrated that the existing farm business is active and established. The proposal therefore fails criteria (a) of Policy CTY 10 of PPS 21.
- 8.7 No dwellings or development opportunities out-with the settlement limits have been sold off from the farm holding, based on a search conducted on lands included in the most up to date farm maps provided, namely 2015. The application therefore complies to criteria (b) of Policy CTY 10.
- 8.8 The proposed site's proximity to existing farm buildings and the farmhouse at No. 71 would allow a new dwelling to visually link and cluster with the established group of buildings on the farm and is accessed by an existing farm lane. The application therefore complies with criteria (c) of Policy CTY 10.
- 8.9 Policy CTY 6 states that Planning permission will be granted for a dwelling in the countryside for the long term needs of the applicant, where there are compelling, and site specific reasons for this related to the applicant's personal or domestic circumstances and provided the following criteria are met:
 - (a) the applicant can provide satisfactory evidence that a new dwelling is a necessary response to the particular circumstances of the case and that genuine hardship would be caused if planning permission were refused; and
 - (b) there are no alternative solutions to meet the particular circumstances of the case, such as: an extension or annex attached to the existing dwelling; the conversion or reuse of another building within the curtilage of the property; or the use of a temporary mobile home for a limited period to deal with immediate short term circumstances.
- 8.10 Information was submitted along with the application as well as additional information received throughout the processing of the application in the form of a letter from the applicant's doctor and a forwarded email. This letter and email contained details relating to the applicant's health and need for single storey accommodation, and the intention of passing the farm onto his daughter. The information contained in both sources is a material consideration and weight has been given to these.

- 8.11 However, no details have been provided to outline any specific level of care required by the applicant. Further to this no evidence has been presented in relation to the long terms needs of the applicant. Furthermore it has not been demonstrated that alternative solutions have been explored such as an extension or annex attached to the existing dwelling, the conversion or re-use of another building within the curtilage of the property, or the use of a temporary mobile home for a limited period to deal with the immediate short-term circumstances. Also no information has been submitted as to why the applicant could not buy a house in the immediate vicinity of the dwelling at 71 Sconce Road Coleraine. Furthermore, the site is in proximity to a number of settlements and is 1.8 miles from Articlave, 2.7 miles from Castlerock and 7.2 miles from Limavady. Overall, it has not been demonstrated that the proposal complies with criteria (a) and (b) of Policy CTY 6.
- 8.12 As the proposal fails to comply with Policy CTY 6 and CTY 10 of PPS 21, it is also considered that it does not comply with Policy CTY 1 of PPS 21.

Access

8.13 Access will be provided from the existing farm lane onto Sconce Road. DFI Roads was consulted on the scheme and have no objections to the proposal. It is in compliance with Policy AMP 2 of PPS 3.

Integration and Rural Character

- 8.14 In terms of integration, the site is a sufficient distance from the public road and a new dwelling would successfully group with the buildings to the rear. The site would not wholly depend on new planting in order to integrate and the dwelling will not appear as a prominent feature in the landscape. The proposed site would not result in suburban style development, create or add to a ribbon of development.
- 8.15 Given the topography and nature of the site, a bungalow is considered to be an acceptable form of development on the condition that it would be of an appropriate design, scale and finishes. The proposed site is considered to comply with Policies CTY 13 and 14 of PPS 21.

Natural Heritage

8.16 NH6 states that Planning permission within an AONB will only be granted where it is of an appropriate design, size and scale for the locality.

As above, the design of the dwelling has not been provided as it is an outline application. The proposed site is at an acceptable location and appropriate backdrop of existing buildings, however design proposals would also have to comply with Policy NH6.

Habitats Regulations Assessment

8.17 The potential impact of this proposal on Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 43 (1) of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended). The proposal would not be likely to have a significant effect on the features, conservation objectives or status of any of these sites.

9 Conclusion

9.1 The proposal is considered unacceptable in this location having regard to the Northern Area Plan, SPPS, other planning policies and material considerations. The proposal is contrary to CTY 10 of PPS 21 in that the farm business is not currently active and established and has not been active since 2018. The proposal is also contrary to Policy CTY 6 of PPS 21 in that the applicant has not provided satisfactory evidence that a new dwelling is a necessary response to the particular circumstances of the case and that genuine hardship would be caused if planning permission were refused, and it has not been demonstrated that there are no alternative solutions to meet the particular circumstances of this case.

10 Reasons for Refusal

1. The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.73 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policies CTY1 and CTY10 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable

Development in the Countryside in that it has not been demonstrated that the farm business is currently active and established for at least six years, and there are no overriding reasons as to why the development is essential and could not be located in a settlement.

2. The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY6 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that: the applicant has not provided satisfactory evidence that a new dwelling is a necessary response to the particular circumstances of the case and that genuine hardship would be caused if planning permission were refused and it has not been demonstrated that there are no alternative solutions to meet the particular circumstances of this case.

Site Location Map

