

Update on Development Management & Enforcement Statistics:	27 November 2019
<ul> <li>Period 01 April 2019 – 30 September 2019</li> </ul>	
Planning Committee	

Linkage to Council Strategy (2015-19)			
Strategic Theme	Protecting and Enhancing our Environments and Assets		
Outcome	Pro-active decision making which protects the natural features, characteristics and integrity of the Borough		
Lead Officer	Denise Dickson		
Cost: (If applicable)	N/A		

#### **FOR NOTING**

## 1.0 Background

- 1.1 The "Protocol for the Operation of the Planning Committee" sets out the requirement to provide monthly updates on the number of planning applications received and decided.
- **1.2** The Northern Ireland Planning Monitoring Framework sets out the new reporting arrangements to the Department of Infrastructure which came into effect on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019. The Framework includes the three statutory planning indicators in addition to new non-statutory indicators.
- 1.3 This Monthly Statistical Report provides Members with unvaildated statistics in relation to how Council's Planning Department and Committee are performing against the Framework indicators.

### 2.0 Details

- 2.1 Website link 1 and Website Link 2 provide a list of planning applications received and decided respectively by Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council in the month of August 2019. Please note that Pre-Application Discussions; Certificates of Lawful Development Proposed or Existing; Discharge of Conditions and Non-Material Changes, have been excluded from the reports to correspond with official validated statistics published by DFI.
- 2.2 <u>Indicator 1</u>: average processing time taken to determine major applications <u>Statutory Target</u> – major applications processed from date valid to decision or withdrawal within an average of 30 weeks

Table 1 below details the number of major planning applications received and decided as well as the average processing times. Please note that these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of major applications received has decreased by 3, however, the number of major applications decided has increased by 4.

PC191127 Page 1 of 6

**Table 1 Indicator 1: Processing Major applications** 

Major applications (target of 30 weeks)						
2019/20	Number received	Number decided/ withdrawn	Average processing time (weeks)	% of cases processed within 30 weeks		
April	0	5	78.8	20%		
May	2	0	-	-		
June	0	3	70.2	33.3%		
July	1	1	134.6	-		
August	3	-	-	-		
September		2	74.1	-		
YTD	6	11	78.8 weeks	18.2%		

Source: Unvalidated Statistics.

2 major applications issued in the month of September with an average processing time of 74.1 weeks bringing the average processing time YTD remaining at 78.8 weeks. This remains 79.8 weeks faster than the same period last year.

2.3 <u>Indicator 2</u>: average processing time taken to determine local applications <u>Statutory Target</u> – local applications processed from date valid to decision or withdrawal within an average of 15 weeks

Table 2 below details the number of Local planning applications received and decided as well as the average processing times. Please note these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of applications received has decreased by 16 applications and the number of decisions issued/withdrawn has increased by 2 applications. Therefore the number of local planning applications remains relatively stable both in terms of those received and those determined/withdrawn. Of note is that a further 5% of applications were processed within the 15 week statutory target when compared to the same period last year and the average processing time has decreased by 3.6weeks.

Table 2 Indicator 2: Processing Local applications

Local applications (target of 15 weeks)					
2019/20	Number received	Number decided/ withdrawn	Average processing time (weeks)	% of cases processed within 15 weeks	
April	107	104	19.3	37.5%	
May	102	121	21.8	40.5%	
June	104	79	21.6	35.4%	
July	87	97	19.8	40.2%	
August	88	100	19.4	43.0%	
September	75	117	17.4	42.7%	
YTD	563	618	19.6 weeks	40.1%	

Source: Unvalidated Statistics; Excludes: Pre-Application Discussions; Proposal of Application Notices; Certificate of Lawful Development Proposed or Existing; Discharge of Conditions; Non-Material Change.

PC191127 Page 2 of 6

**2.4** <u>Indicator 3</u>: proportion of enforcement cases progressed to the target conclusion within 39 weeks

<u>Standard</u> – 70% of all enforcement cases progressed to target conclusion within 39 weeks of receipt of complaint

Table 3 below details the number of Enforcement cases opened and concluded as well as the percentage of cases concluded within the statutory target of 39 weeks. Please note these figures are unvalidated statistics. In comparison to the same period last year, the number of cases opened has increased by 46 and the number of cases brought to conclusion has decreased by 21.

**Table 3 Indicator 3: Processing Enforcement Cases** 

Enforcement Cases Concluded (target of 39 weeks)					
2019/20	Number opened	Number brought to conclusion	70% conclusion time (weeks)	% of cases concluded within 39 weeks	
April	22	37	21.4	100%	
May	32	19	35.4	89.5%	
June	50	44	13.8	86.4%	
July	35	24	22.8	75%	
August	27	24	29.6	79.2%	
September	30	15	36.3	73.3%	
YTD	196	163	25.6 weeks	85.9%	

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

The statutory target for concluding 70% of enforcement cases within 39 weeks continues to be exceeded by our Enforcement team with 85.9% of cases YTD concluded within the statutory target. An improvement of 4.9% when compared to the same period last year. Furthermore, the length of time taken to conclude 70% of cases has reduced by over 8 weeks taking just 25.6 weeks YTD to conclude.

### **2.5** Indicator 4: percentage of applications determined under delegated powers

Table 4 below details the total number of Local applications determined under delegated powers. Determined is taken as the date the decision issued and excludes withdrawn applications. Dfl Development Management Practice Note 15 Councils Schemes of Delegation recommends that councils should aim to have 90-95% of applications dealt with under the scheme of delegation. To date 92.79% of applications determined were delegated under the scheme of delegation.

PC191127 Page **3** of **6** 

Table 4 Percentage of Local applications determined under delegated powers

Applications Determined					
2019/20	Total Determined	Delegated Determined	Planning Committee Determined	% Delegated Determined	
April	104	90	14	86.54	
May (no meeting held)	121	119	2	98.35	
June	78	72	6	92.31	
July (no meeting held)	94	90	4	95.74	
August	83	82	1	98.8	
September	116	100	16	86.21	
YTD	596	553	43	92.79%	

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

**2.6** <u>Indicator 5</u>: number of applications taken to Planning Committee and percentage of Committee decisions made against officer recommendation

Table 5 provides details on the number of decisions that were determined by the Planning Committee at each monthly meeting and the percentage of decisions made against officer recommendation, including major, Council and Local applications. This is taken from the date of the Planning Committee meeting. Of note is that of the six applications determined in September, all were in agreement with the Planning Officer's recommendation.

Table 5 Percentage of decisions at Planning Committee against officer recommendation

	Total Planning Committee Determined	Officer Recommendation Overturned	Requested Referred to PC Determined	Total no of Referrals overturned by PC
April	16	3	7	3
May	No meeting			
June	11	1	1	1
July	No meeting			
August	13	3	3	3
Sept	6	0	1	0
YTD	46	7 (15.22%)	12	7(58.3%)

Source: Unvalidated Statistics

PC191127 Page **4** of **6** 

**2.7** <u>Indicator 6</u>: percentage of appeals against refusals of planning permission that are dismissed

Table 6 below details the number of appeal decisions issued since 1 April 2019. Please note that these figures relating to planning application decisions only are unvalidated statistics extracted from internal management reports. No decisions have been issued by the PAC for this Council in the month of September.

**Table 6 Appeals to the Planning Appeals Commission (PAC)** 

Appeal Decisions	Q1 2019/20	July 2019	Aug 2019	Sept 2019
Upheld	2	1	1	0
Dismissed	1	1	1	0
Cumulative Total	3	5	7	7
Appeal Decisions				

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

**2.8** <u>Indicator 7</u>: Number of claims for costs received by the PAC and number of claims awarded

Table 7 provides the details of the number of application for claims for costs made by either third parties or Council to the PAC and the number of claims where the PAC have awarded costs. No claims for costs were made in the month of September.

Table 7 Total number of costs received

2019/20	Claims for Costs by third parties	Cost awarded against Council	Claim for Costs by Council	Costs awarded to the Council
April	1	0	1	0
May	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	2
July	0	0	0	0
August	0	0	0	0
Sept	0	0	0	0

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

2.9 Table 8 details the number of contentious applications which have been circulated to all Members in the months April - September and the number which have referred to the Planning Committee for determination. To date 56.1% of contentious applications have been referred to Planning Committee for determination.

Table 8 Total number of referrals requested

2019/20	No of contentious applications	No of contentious applications referred	% Referred
April	7	6	85.7
May	13	8	61.5
June	19	10	52.6
July	11	7	63.6
August	7	1	14.3%
September	9	5	55.6%
YTD	66	37	56.1%

Source: Unvalidated Statistics Internal Management Reports

PC191127 Page **5** of **6** 

# 3.0 Recommendation

**3.1 IT IS RECOMMENDED** that the Planning Committee note the update on the development management statistics.

PC191127 Page **6** of **6**